C_1 -Symmetric Bicyclo[2.2.2]octa-2,5-diene (bod*) Ligands in Rhodium-Catalyzed Asymmetric 1,4-Addition of Arylboronic Acids to Enones and 1,2- Addition to $N-$ [(4-Nitrophenyl)sulfonyl]imines

by Rebecca Brönnimann^a), Sothys Chun^a), and Roger Marti*a)

^a) Ecole d'Ingénieurs et d'Architectes de Fribourg, Institut de Chimie, Bd de Pérolles 80, CH-1705 Fribourg (phone: +41-26-4296703; fax: +41-26-4296600; e-mail: roger.marti@hefr.ch)

and

Stefan Abele*b)

b) Actelion Pharmaceuticals Ltd., Gewerbestrasse 16, CH-4123 Allschwil (phone: +41-61-5656759; fax: +41-61-5658901; e-mail: stefan.abele@actelion.com)

Dedicated to Prof. Dieter Seebach on the occasion of his 75th birthday

A set of ten C_1 -symmetric chiral bicyclo[2.2.2]octa-2,5-dienes (bod*) 2 (Fig. 1) were tested as ligands in Rh-catalyzed arylation reactions. The 1,4-addition of arylboronic acids to cyclohex-2-en-1-one, cyclopent-2-en-1-one, and tert-butyl cinnamate proceeded smoothly with excellent enantioselectivities (up to 99% ee; Tables $1-3$). The challenging 1,2-addition of triphenylboroxine to N-[(4-nitrophenyl)sulfonyl]imines yielded the product in high yield and in good enantioselectivity (up to 92% ee; Table 4). Generally, the use of C_1 -symmetric chiral bod* ligands bearing bulky substituents resulted in lower enantioselectivities, whereas several electron-poor and electron-rich bod* ligands gave higher enantioselectivities than the benchmark ligands reported in literature.

Introduction. – Chiral dienes have been established as an own class of chiral ligands for asymmetric synthesis (for reviews on chiral diene ligands, see [1]). Based on the pioneering work by Hayashi and co-workers [2a] and Carreira and co-workers [2b], a multitude of different ligands are known today. However, the synthesis of enantiomerically pure chiral dienes remains a challenge – simple and inexpensive syntheses to access the different types of ligands are still not available today¹). Process research chemists at Actelion Pharmaceuticals Ltd. have developed simple and scalable syntheses of $(1R,4R)$ -5-phenylbicyclo[2.2.2]oct-5-en-2-one (1) [3a][3b] (for a synthesis of rac-5-phenylbicyclo[2.2.2]oct-5-en-2-one (rac-1) on a 180 kg scale, see [3c]). The $(1R,4R)$ -phenylbicyclo[2.2.2]oct-5-en-2-one (1) is a crystalline key intermediate, also

¹) Costs according to the *Sigma–Aldrich* catalogue: *Hayashi's* (R, R) -Ph-bod* (2c): 743 CHF/500 mg; Carreira's ligand (1R,4R,8R)-5-benzyl-2-isobutyl-8-methoxy-1,8-dimethylbicyclo[2.2.2]octa-2,5diene ($=(+)$ -dolefin; III): 272.50 CHF/100 mg.

 $@$ 2012 Verlag Helvetica Chimica Acta AG, Zürich

available in its enantiomeric forms, for the preparation of chiral C_1 -symmetric bicyclo[2.2.2]octa-2,5-diene (bod*) ligands 2 (Scheme)2).

We now report the first application of these new bod* ligands 2 (*Fig. 1*) in four Rhcatalyzed arylation reactions, comprising the 1,4-addition to enones and the 1,2 addition to a N-[(4-nitrophenyl)sulfonyl]imine. Fig. 2 depicts the different types of known chiral dienes that are mentioned in the text for comparison. At the outset, we were interested to check the influence of the residue at the 2-position of the bicyclo[2.2.2] octa-2,5-diene scaffold in these C_1 -symmetric dienes 2 on the enantioselectivity. In all four test reactions, the commercially available C_2 -symmetric (R,R) -Phbod* (2c) was used for comparison with our C_1 -symmetric dienes. Mono-substituted chiral dienes of the type IV have shown similar enantioselectivities in Rh-catalyzed 1,4-

Fig. 1. Chiral dienes $2a - 2k$, used as chiral ligands in the asymmetric addition reactions (Tables $1 - 4$). All dienes are solids, except for 2a and 2j [3a].

²) The 2-aryl-5-phenyl-substituted dienes are accessible from 1 by *Grignard* addition to the ketone, followed by in situ elimination of the mesylate of the ensuing alcohol, whereas the 2-alkyl-5-phenyl substituted dienes are synthesized by cross-coupling of the enol triflate derived from 1 (treatment with lithium diisopropylamide (LDA)/(pyridin-2-yl)N(SO_2CF_3)₂) with alkylmagnesium bromides, see [3a].

Fig. 2. Types of chiral dienes that have been reported to give highest ee in the test reactions (Tables $1-4$). The C_2 -symmetric type-I dienes are obtained either by fractional crystallization of diastereoisomeric dihydrazones of (R) -5-(1-phenylethyl)semioxamazides or by racemate resolution with chiral HPLC of the C_2 -symmetric bicyclo[2.2.2]octane-2,5-dione [4]. The C_2 -symmetric type-II dienes are accessible by a chemoenzymatic approach [5]. The C_1 -symmetric type-III and -IV dienes are derived from carvone [6] [7]. The C_2 -symmetric bicyclo[2.2.1] nona-2,6-diene ligands of type V are obtained via a separation by chiral HPLC [8]. The C_1 -symmetric ligands of type **VI** are obtained from (R) - α -phellandrene and propiolic acid (= prop-2-ynoic acid) esters by a $[4+2]$ cycloaddition [9].

addition reactions as compared to disubstituted dienes. Hence, it was reckoned that C_2 symmetry was not mandatory for high enantioselectivities in these reactions $[7]^3$).

Results and Discussion. – There is ample precedence for the asymmetric addition of phenylboronic acid to cyclohex-2-en-1-one (3) and cyclopent-2-en-1-one (5) [4] [6]. These two substrates were chosen for testing the chiral-diene ligands 2 in asymmetric catalysis. Enantioselectivities of $> 95\%$ ee are reported for these substrates both with C_2 -symmetric *Hayashi* bod* dienes **I** [4] and with one of *Carreira*'s chiral dienes, *i.e.*, $(+)$ -dolefin (III) [6]. *Carnell*'s type II ligand gave 99% ee [5]. Similarly, under slightly different conditions, Darses reported an ee of 96 and 98% for cyclopentenone and cyclohexenone with ligands of type IV [7].

Our results of the addition of phenylboronic acid to cyclohex-2-en-1-one (3) to give ketone 4 by means of the new chiral dienes 2 are summarized in Table 1. The active catalyst $(3 \text{ mol-}\%)$ was prepared *in situ* by mixing the chiral ligand 2 with $[RhCl(C₂H₄)]_2$. A twofold excess of phenylboronic acid with respect to 3 was used in dioxane and 50% aqueous KOH solution at 30 \degree for 3 h [4]. In general, very good enantioselectivities were achieved with all C_1 -symmetric chiral bod* dienes 2. The highest ee (97%) was obtained with the mother bod* 2c and with 4-MeO-substituted diaryldiene 2e. The result with 2c corresponds well with the result published by *Hayashi*

³) This is further corroborated by *Carreira*'s seminal paper [2b].

and co-workers [4]. Surprisingly, variation of the substituent at the para-position of the diaryl-substituted dienes had no influence on the enantioselectivity: H- $(2c)$, F- $(2d)$, MeO- $(2e)$, PhO- $(2f)$, or Ph-substituted $(2h)$ diaryldienes gave similar results independent of their electronic effect! The use of triphenylboroxine $((PhBO)₃)$ as aryl source gave an identical result (*Entry 5*). The two dienes with alkyl residues, 2a and 2b, led to lower enantioselectivity – the same was observed for sterically demanding ligands, like the 2-methylphenyl-substituted ligand $2i$ (*Entry 12*) or the naphthalen-1-yl ligand 2*j* (*Entry 13*). This effect was also described in [4] with the C_2 -symmetric 2methylphenyl-bod* diene. Interestingly, the naphthalen-2-yl-substituted ligand 2k performed much better than the naphthalen-1-yl-substituted ligand 2j, probably due to less steric hindrance in the transition state [4] [10]. The yields of adduct 4 isolated after chromatography were in the range of 58 to 97% – Hayashi and co-workers reported 95% [4]. The yields were not further optimized.

	3		$PhB(OH)_2$ (2 equiv.) [RhCl(C ₂ H ₄)] ₂ (3 mol-% Rh) diene 2 (3.3 mol-%) KOH (50 mol-%) dioxane, 30°, 3 h		(R) ™Ph		
Entry	Diene	Yield $\lceil \% \rceil^a$)	ee $[%]^{b}$	Entry	Diene	Yield $[\%]$ ^a)	ee $[%]^{b}$
1	2a	75	92	8	2e	58	97
2	$ent-2a$	72	-92	9	2f	70	96
3	2 _b	65	92	10	2g	79	96
4	2c	90	97 (96°)	11	2 _h	76	96
$5^{\rm d}$	2c	94	97	12	2i	93	91
6	$ent-2c$	70	-97	13	2j	97	86
	2d	86	96	14	2k	86	96

Table 1. Enantioselective Addition of Phenylboronic Acid to Cyclohex-2-en-1-one (3), Catalyzed by Chiral Rh/Diene 2 Complexes

^a) Yield of isolated 4 after chromatography. ^b) Determined by chiral HPLC (210 nm) of isolated 4. ^c) Value reported by *Hayashi* and co-workers [4]. ^d) With (PhBO)₃ instead of PhB(OH)₂.

The same protocol was used for the addition of phenylboronic acid to cyclopent-2 en-1-one (5) to give ketone 6 (*Table 2*). In general, very good enantioselectivities were achieved with all C_1 -symmetric chiral dienes 2. Again, the best result (99% ee) was achieved with the 4-MeO substituted diaryldiene 2e. With this Michael acceptor, the bulky ligands 2i and 2j (*Entries 11* and 12) showed very good enantioselectivities as well, whereas the two alkyl-substituted bod* dienes 2a and 2b, and the [biphenyl]-4-ylsubstituted diene 2h gave slightly lower enantioselectivities. The yields of 1,4-adduct 6 were in the range of 53 to 95% after chromatography – slightly lower than for adduct 4.

Next, we studied the 1,4-addition of (4-methoxyphenyl)boronic acid to the acyclic enone tert-butyl cinnamate (=tert-butyl (2E)-3-phenylprop-2-enoate; 7) to give propanoate 8 (Table 3). Some important drug substances are derived from chiral

			$PhB(OH)2$ (2 equiv.) $[RhCl(C2H4)]2$ (3 mol-% Rh) diene 2 (3.3 mol-%)				
		5	KOH (50 mol-%) dioxane, 30°, 3 h		(R) 。 Ph 6		
Entry	Diene	Yield $\lceil \% \rceil^a$)	ee $[%]^{b}$	Entry	Diene	Yield $[\%]$ ^a)	ee $[%]^{b}$
	2a	95	94	8	2f	80	98
2	$ent-2a$	87	-93	9	2g	55	97
3	2 _b	73	95	10	2h	65	94
4	2c	63	98 $(99c)$	11	2i	53	97
5	$ent-2c$	71	-98	12	2j	60	97
6	2d	75	98	13	2k	77	98
	2e	73	99				

Table 2. Enantioselective Addition of Phenylboronic Acid to Cyclopent-2-en-1-one (5), Catalyzed by Rh/Diene 2 Complexes

^a) Yield of isolated 6 after chromatography. ^b) Determined by chiral HPLC (210 nm) of isolated 6. ϵ) Value reported by Hayashi and co-workers [4].

diarylmethines of the type of 8^4). Carreira and co-workers reported enantioselectivites of 93% ee with the carvon derived dienes of type III. To date, ligands of type I (bod*) have not been tested with cinnamate $7 [11][12]^{5}$). Thus, the active catalyst (3 mol-%) was prepared in situ by mixing chiral ligand 2 with $[RhCl(C₂H₄)]_2$, and a twofold excess of 4-methoxyphenylboronic acid with respect to 7 was used in the presence of 1.5m aqueous KOH at 50 $^{\circ}$ for 16 h. For this reaction, MeOH/H₂O 10:1 was found to be superior to dioxane/H₂O [11]. Gratifyingly, excellent enantioselectivities $(98-99\%$ ee) were achieved with several bod* ligands 2, except for the ligands 2i and 2j carrying bulky substituents (*Entries 11* and 12) and the two aryl-alkyl-substituted dienes 2a and **2b** (*Entries 1* and 2). The use of less equiv. of (4-methoxyphenyl)boronic acid (*Entry 4:* 1.2 equiv. of boronic acid as compared to 2 equiv.) resulted in a slightly lower yield but a similar enantioselectivity. The conversion $7 \rightarrow 8$ could be easily determined by ¹H-NMR spectroscopy by comparison the signals of the *tert*-butyl esters – in general complete conversion was observed within 16 h. The yields after isolation by chromatography were in the range of 56 to 97% ⁶) – *Carreira* an co-workers reported yields of $80-95\%$ for the carvone derived ligands III [11]. In some cases, the homocoupling product of (4-methoxyphenyl)boronic acid, the 4,4'-dimethoxy-1,1'biphenyl could be identified as a minor by-product.

⁴⁾ For example, the antidepressant sertraline or the antimuscarinic drug tolterodine.

⁵⁾ A recent paper by Hayashi and co-workers [12] describes the Rh-catalyzed 1,4-addition of sodium tetraarylborates to β , β -disubstituted α , β -unsaturated esters with high ee. Even though bod* ligands showed the highest ee's, they have not further been employed in that work, probably due to availability issues. Moreover, this reaction required $45 h$ at 60° for completion which makes screening more cumbersome.

 $6)$ The explicit low yields in *Entries 2* and 10 can be explained by un-optimized seperation during column chromatography.

	Ω $\overline{7}$	4-MeO- $C_6H_4B(OH)_2$ (2 equiv.) $[RhCl(C2H4)]2$ (3 mol-% Rh) diene 2 (3.3 mol-%) KOH (50 mol-%) MeOH, 50°, 16 h	OMe O (R) Ĥ 8	
Entry	Diene	Conversion $[%]a$)	Yield $[%]^b$)	ee [%] ^c)
1	2a	> 95	95	92
$\overline{2}$	2 _b	60	$20b$)	91
\mathfrak{Z}	2c	> 95	78	99
4^d	2c	90	56	98
5	$ent-2c$	> 95	78	-97
6	2d	70	56	99
7	2e	> 95	97	99
8	2f	75	79	98
9	2g	> 95	94	98
10	2 _h	> 95	$30b$)	98
11	2i	> 95	69	93
12	2j	> 95	89	89
13	2k	> 95	63	98

Table 3. Enantioselective Addition of (4-Methoxyphenyl)boronic Acid to tert-Butyl Cinnamate (7), Catalyzed by Rh/Diene 2 Complexes

^a) Determined by ¹H-NMR of the crude product. ^b) Yield of isolated 8 after chromatography. ^c) Determined by HPLC (230 nm) of isolated 8. ^d) With 1.2 instead of 2 equiv. of 4 -MeO-C₆H₄B(OH)₂.

Finally, N-[(4-nitrophenyl)sulfonyl]aldimine 9 was chosen as test substrate for the 1,2-addition of triphenylboroxine to afford chiral (diarylmethyl)amine derivative 10 (Table 4). This product is a precursor for the synthesis of notable pharmacologically active substances⁷). N- $[(4-Nitropheny)]$ sulfonyl]imines are very challenging substrates: *Hayashi'*s bod* ligands I gave a maximum ee of 90%. Highest enantioselectivities (98% ee) were reported with bnd* ligands of type \overline{V} [8] or with the phellandrene derived ligands of type VI [9]. For this reaction, we prepared the active catalyst separately prior to use in the various reactions. The enantioselectivities were generally lower than those obtained with the preceding substrates: 88 – 92% ee were obtained with five of the C_1 -symmetric dienes 2 (*Entries* 3, 5, and 7–9). This is in good agreement with the result disclosed by Hayashi and co-workers for $2c$ (Entry 3). Likewise, the bulky 2-methylphenyl- and naphthalen-1-yl-substituted ligands 2i and 2j (*Entries 10* and 11), respectively, gave the lowest enantioselectitivities (*ca.* 70% ee), and the aryl-alkyl substituted dienes 2a and 2b showed significantly lower selectivities than the aryl-aryl substituted dienes. Interestingly, a heteroaryl substituted C_1 symmetric diene ligand, the thienyl-substituted diene 2g, gave the best result (92%

⁷⁾ For example, the antihistamine cetirizine or the anti-emetic meclozine.

^a) Yield of isolated 10 after chromatography. ^b) Determined by HPLC (210 nm) of isolated 10. ^c) Value reported by Hayashi and co-workers [8].

ee). The yields of isolated amine 10 were in the region of 69 – 98%, which correspond quite well with the yields of 88 – 99% reported in [8].

Conclusions. – In summary, C_1 -symmetric bicyclo[2.2.2]octa-2,5-diene ligands 2 were successfully tested in Rh-catalyzed arylation reactions of different substrates with phenylboronic acids. The highest enantioselectivities reported so far with benchmark diene ligands were achieved or exceeded with several dienes 2 when applied to the addition to cyclohex-2-en-1-one, cyclopent-2-en-1-one, and tert-butyl cinnamate (Tables $1-3$). The C_1 -symmetric 4-fluorophenyl-, 4-methoxyphenyl-, 4-phenoxyphenyl-, and 2-thienyl-substituted dienes $2d$, $2e$, $2f$, and $2g$, respectively, gave similar enantioselectivities as *Hayashi*'s 'mother diene ligand' Ph-bod* 2c. The aryl-alkylsubstituted C_1 -symmetric dienes 2a and 2b showed generally slightly lower selectivities than the aryl-aryl-substituted dienes. The 2-methylphenyl- and naphthalen-1-ylsubstituted dienes $2i$ and $2j$, respectively, with sterically demanding residues gave consistently lower selectivities – except for the 1,4-addition to cyclopent-2-en-1-one (5) where all C_1 -symmetric aryl-aryl-substituted ligands gave high ee (>97%). The thienyl-substituted diene $2g$ was the best chiral diene for the arylation of $N-[$ (4nitrophenyl)sulfonyl]aldimine 9, albeit with lower enantioselectivity (92% ee) than the best ligand reported by Hayashi and co-workers [8][9] for this reaction (98% ee with bnd*-diene ligands).

The isolated yields of pure products of the 1,4-additions to enones were typically in the range of 53–97% (Tables $1-3$), i.e., slightly lower than for the 1,2-addition to imine 9 (69–98%, *Table 4*). It must be mentioned that these are un-optimized yields, and in this work, all reactions were performed by a scale-up of 10 compared to the experimental procedures reported in [4] [6] [8].

Although one aryl residue of 2 is fixed (Ph), this array of stable C_1 -symmetric diene ligands 2 displays differences in reactivity and selectivity and allows for a systematic screening of reaction conditions [13] with more complex substrates. The availability of the common precursor $(1R,4R)$ -5-phenylbicyclo[2.2.2]oct-5-en-2-one (1) on a multi-kg scale is an important asset for the simple and expeditious synthesis of a large variety of novel ligands.

We thank René Vogelsanger and Lara Rentsch for valuable analytical support. S.A. acknowledges continuous support by Dr. Thomas Wetter.

Experimental Part

General. All reactions were performed under Ar in dried glassware and anh. solvents, except for reactions with aq. reagents. All chemicals and solvents were reagent-grade and used as supplied, unless stated otherwise. Anal. TLC: pre-coated Merck silica gel 60 F_{254} plates (SiO₂; 0.25 mm). Column chromatography (FC): Fluka SiO₂ 60 (230-400 mesh). HPLC: normal-phase Daicel-Chiralpak anal. columns $(4.6 \times 250 \text{ mm}; 5 \text{ \mu m})$ of the AS-H or AD-H type, Chiralpak-AY-3 anal. column $(4.6 \times 100 \text{ mm};$ $3 \mu m$), or *Chiralcel-OD-H* anal. column $(4.6-250 \text{ mm}; 5 \mu \text{m})$. M.p.: *Büchi-510* melting-point apparatus; uncorrected. Optical rotations $([a]_D^2)$: *Perkin-Elmer 241* polarimeter; enantiomer excesses (ee) by HPLC analyses; t_R in min. ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR Spectra: *Bruker-Avance* instrument; at 300 (¹H) and 75 MHz (^{13}C) .

 $(3R)$ -3-Phenylcyclohexanone (4). A soln. of $[RhCl(C,H_4)_2]$, $(14 mg, 0.072 mmol Rh, 0.03 equiv.$ of Rh), chiral ligand 2 (0.08 mmol, 0.033 equiv.), and phenylboronic acid (600 mg, 4.92 mmol, 2 equiv.) in 1,4-dioxane (10 ml) was stirred at r.t. for 5 min. To this mixture was added cyclohex-2-en-1-one (3; 2.46 mmol, 1 equiv.) and aq. 1.5m KOH (1.25 mmol, 0.85 ml, 0.50 equiv.). The mixture was stirred at 30° for 3 h. Then the mixture was filtered over a pad of $SiO₂$, the pad washed with Et₂O, and the solvent was evaporated. Purification by FC (SiO₂, hexane/AcOEt 3:1 (R_f 0.56)) yielded 4 as a liquid. Anal. data: corresponding to literature data [13]. HPLC (*Chiral-Pak AS-H*, heptane/i-PrOH 90:10, 0.8 ml min⁻¹, 210 nm): t_R (minor) 13.4, t_R (major) 14.4, 97% ee, *Entry 8*, *Table 1*. [α] $_{10}^{20}$ = +23.1 (c = 1.1 CHCl₃), ((R) enantiomer [14]: $\lbrack a \rbrack_{D}^{\infty} = +20.9 \, (c = 1.0, \text{CHCl}_3), 99\% \text{ ee}).$

(3R)-3-Phenylcyclopentanone (6). As described for 4, with cyclopent-2-en-1-one (5; 2.46 mmol, 1 equiv.). Purification by FC (SiO₂, hexane/AcOEt 4:1 $(R_f \ 0.7)$) yielded 6 as liquid. Anal. data: corresponding to literature data [13]. HPLC (Chiralpak AY-3, heptane/i-PrOH (0.05% Et2NH) 90:10, 0.8 ml min⁻¹, 220 nm): t_R (major) 4.3, t_R (minor) 5.4; 97% ee, *Entry 12*, *Table 2*. [α] $_0^{20}$ = +86.6 (c = 2.5, CHCl₃) ((R)-enantiomer [14]: $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +87.3$ ($c = 1.0$, CHCl₃), 99% ee).

tert-Butyl (3R)-3-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-3-phenylpropanoate (=1,1-Dimethylethyl (β R)-4-Methoxy- β phenylbenzenepropanoate; 8). $[RhCl(C_2H_4)]_2$ (2.8 mg, 0.014 mmol Rh, 0.3 equiv. Rh) and diene 2 (0.016 mmol, 0.3 equiv.) were dissolved in MeOH (1.6 ml). The soln. was stirred for 15 min, followed by the addition of aq. 1.5m KOH (0.245 mmol, 165 μ l, 0.5 equiv.). After stirring for 15 min, 4- $MeOC₆H₄B(OH)₂$ (149 mg, 0.98 mmol, 2 equiv.) was added, followed by *tert*-butyl cinnamate (7; 100 mg, 116 μ , 0.49 mmol, 1 equiv.), and the mixture was stirred at 50 $^{\circ}$ for 16 h (reaction monitoring by ¹H-NMR by comparing the *tert*-butyl signal of **7** (δ (H) 1.55) with that of **8** (δ (H)1.27). Then, sat. NH₄Cl soln. was added, the aq. layer extracted with Et₂O ($3 \times$), and the combined org. layer washed with brine, dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated to give the crude product. Purification by FC (SiO₂, Et₂O/cyclohexane 1:20 (R_f 0.20)) yielded 8 as an off-white solid. M.p. 70 – 71.5° ([11]: 70 – 71°). Anal. data: corresponding to literature data [11]. HPLC (*Chiralpak AD-H*, heptane/i-PrOH (0.05% Et₂NH) 90:10, 0.8 ml min⁻¹, 230 nm): $t_R(\text{minor})$ 6.1, $t_R(\text{major})$ 7.1; 99% ee, *Entry 7, Table 3*. [α] $_0^{\text{D}} = -1.06$ ($c = 0.94$, MeOH) ((S)enantiomer [11]): $[\alpha]_D^{26} = +1.6$ (c = 0.96, MeOH), 93% ee).

N-[(S)-(4-Chlorophenyl)phenylmethyl]-4-nitrobenzenesulfonamide (10). A soln. of $[RhCl(C_2H_4)_2]_2$ (13.5 mg, 0.07 mmol Rh, 0.09 equiv.) and chiral diene $2(0.03 \text{ mmol}, 0.037 \text{ equiv.})$ in CH₂Cl₂ (1 ml) was stirred at r.t. for 1 h. The mixture was first filtered on a pad of *Celite*, then through a pad of $SiO₂$ (eluent CH₂Cl₂, then THF). The solvents were evaporated to afford the [Rh(diene)] complex as an orange solid that was directly used as catalyst for the arylation reaction. The $[Rh(diene)]$ complex $(ca, 0.03$ equiv.) was dissolved in 1,4-dioxane (2.3 ml) and aq. 3.1M KOH (0.155 mmol, 50 μ l, 0.2 equiv.) was added. After 5 min, this soln. was added to a suspension of N-[(4-nitrophenyl)sulfonyl]imine 9 (prepared according to $[15]$; 250 mg, 0.77 mmol, 1 equiv.) and triphenylboroxine (290 mg, 0.92 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) in 1.4-dioxane (3.9 ml). The mixture was stirred at 60 $^{\circ}$ for 6 h. Then, it was passed through a pad of SiO₂ (pre-treated with MeOH, eluent: AcOEt). The filtrate was evaporated, and the residue was subjected to FC ($SiO₂$, cyclohexane/AcOEt 2:1 (R_f 0.48)): 10 as an off-white solid. M.p. 180-183° ([16]: 180.7-181.5°). Anal. data: corresponding to literature data [17]. HPLC (*Chiralcel OD-H*, heptane/EtOH 1:1, 0.8 ml min⁻¹, 210 nm): t_R (major) 5.7, t_R (minor) 7.9; 92% ee, *Entry 8*, *Table 4*. $[\alpha]_0^{20} = +2.1$ (c = 1.16, CHCl₃) ((S)enantiomer [17]: $\lbrack \alpha \rbrack_{D}^{20} = +3.3$ ($c = 0.94$, CHCl₃), 98.5% ee).

REFERENCES

- [1] a) F. Glorius, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 3364; b) C. Defieber, H. Grützmacher, E. M. Carreira, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 4482; c) J. B. Johnson, T. Rovis, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 840; d) R. Shintani, T. Hayashi, Aldrichim. Acta 2009, 42, 31.
- [2] a) T. Hayashi, K. Ueyama, N. Tokunaga, K. Yoshida, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 11508; b) C. Fisher, C. Defieber, T. Suzuki, E. M. Carreira, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 1628.
- [3] a) S. Abele, R. Inauen, D. Spielvogel, C. Moessner, J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 4765; b) S. Abele, R. Inauen, J.-A. Funel, T. Weller, Org. Process Res. Dev. 2012, 16, 129; c) J.-A. Funel, G. Schmidt, S. Abele, Org. Process Res. Dev. 2011, 15, 1420.
- [4] Y. Otomaru, K. Okamoto, R. Shintani, T. Hayashi, J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 2503.
- [5] Y. Luo, A. J. Carnell, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 2750.
- [6] C. Defieber, J.-F. Paquin, S. Serna, E. M. Carreira, Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 3873.
- [7] T. Gendrineau, O. Chuzel, H. Eijsberg, J.-P. Genet, S. Darses, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 7669.
- [8] Y. Otomaru, N. Tokunaga, R. Shintani, T. Hayashi, Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 307.
- [9] K. Okamoto, T. Hayashi, V. H. Rawal, Chem. Commun. 2009, 4815.
- [10] N. Takunaga, Y. Otomaru, K. Okamoto, K. Ueyama, R. Shintani, T. Hayashi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 13584.
- [11] J.-F. Paquin, C. R. J. Stephenson, C. Defieber, E. M. Carreira, Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 3821.
- [12] R. Shintani, T. Hayashi, Org. Lett. 2010, 13, 350.
- [13] Y. Luo, N. G. Berry, A. J. Carnell, Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 3279.
- [14] T. Minuth, M. M. K. Boysen, Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 4212.
- [15] B. E. Love, P. S. Raje, T. C. Williams II, Synlett 1994, 493.
- [16] Y. Nakao, M. Takeda, J. Chen, T. Hiyama, Y. Ichikawa, R. Shintani, T. Hayashi, Chem. Lett. 2008, 37, 290.
- [17] Z.-Q. Wang, C.-G. Feng, M.-H. Xu, G.-Q. Lin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 5336.

Received July 17, 2012